## **REMARKS**

## **Summary of the Office Action**

Claims 9 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling.

Claims 1-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 9-11 and 17-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Furukoshi et al. (US 6,791,518).

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the indication that claims 1-8 and 12-16 recite allowable subject matter.

## Summary of the Response to the Office Action

Applicants have amended claims 1, 9, 12, and 17 to further define the invention.

Accordingly, claims 1-18 are pending for further consideration.

## All Claims Comply With 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 9 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Accordingly, claims 9 and 7 have been amended.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully assert that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph is now moot.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Accordingly, claims 1, 9, 12 and 17 have been amended. Therefore,

1 490

now moot.

All Claims Define Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-11 and 17-18 are stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being

Applicants respectfully assert that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph is

anticipated by Furukoshi et al. (US 6,791,518). Applicants respectfully traverse these

rejections for at least the following reasons.

Independent claim 9, as amended, recites a liquid crystal display device including, in

part, "a signal modulating unit generating a modulated data enable signal by using the data

enable signal, the modulated data enable signal having first and second time intervals." The

cited reference does not teach or suggest at least this feature of the claimed invention.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 9, claims 10-11, which depend

therefrom, are allowable over the cited reference.

Independent claim 17, as amended, recite a method of driving a liquid crystal display

device having a liquid crystal panel, a graphic interface unit, a signal modulating unit and a

timing controller including, in part, "generating a modulated data enable signal by using the

data enable signal in the signal modulating unit, the modulated data enable signal having first

and second time intervals." The cited reference does not teach or suggest at least this feature

of the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 17 and

claim 18, which depend therefrom, are allowable over the cited reference.

For at least the above reasons, Applicants respectfully assert that claims 9-11 and 17-

18 are neither taught nor suggested by the applied prior art reference. Thus, Applicants

respectfully assert that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) should be withdrawn because

1-WA/2839142.2

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 053785-5173

Application No.: 10/789,987

Page 9

the above-discussed novel combination of features are neither taught nor suggested by any of

the applied references.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request the

reconsideration and the timely allowance of the pending claims. Should the Examiner believe

that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of this response, the Examiner is

invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please

charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0310. If a fee is required for an extension of

time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the

fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Robert J. Goodell Reg. No. 41,040

Dated: October 9, 2007

Customer No. 09629

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

Telephone: 202-739-3000